

# 1 Proxy-Model Comparison for the Eocene-Oligocene Transition in Southern High Latitudes

2 Emily J. Tibbett<sup>1\*</sup>, Natalie J. Burls<sup>2</sup>, David K. Hutchinson<sup>3</sup>, Sarah J. Feakins<sup>1</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

4 <sup>2</sup>Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Earth Sciences Department, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

5 <sup>3</sup>Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

6 \*Corresponding author: E. J. Tibbett (tibbett@usc.edu)

## 8 Key points

- 9 • Air temperatures at the margins of the Antarctic continent dropped by 0 to 4°C across the Eocene  
10 Oligocene Transition.
- 11 • Southern high latitude sea surface temperatures cooled by 0 to 3°C.
- 12 • Best fit to the proxy surface air temperatures from CO<sub>2</sub>-only runs suggest a 30% decrease in  
13 *p*CO<sub>2</sub> across the Eocene-Oligocene Transition.

## 14 Abstract

15 The Eocene-Oligocene Transition (EOT) marks the shift from greenhouse to icehouse conditions at 34  
16 Ma, when a permanent ice sheet developed on Antarctica. Climate modeling studies have recently  
17 assessed the drivers of the transition globally. Here we revisit those experiments for a detailed study of  
18 the southern high latitudes in comparison to the growing number of mean annual sea surface temperature  
19 (SST) and mean air temperature (MAT) proxy reconstructions, allowing us to assess proxy-model  
20 temperature agreement and refine estimates for the magnitude of the *p*CO<sub>2</sub> forcing of the EOT. We  
21 compile and update published proxy temperature records on and around Antarctica for the late Eocene  
22 (38-34 Ma) and early Oligocene (34-30 Ma). Compiled SST proxies cool by up to 3°C and MAT by up to  
23 4° between the timeslices. Proxy data were compared to previous climate model simulations representing  
24 pre- and post-EOT, typically forced with a halving of *p*CO<sub>2</sub>. We scaled the model outputs to identify the  
25 magnitude of *p*CO<sub>2</sub> change needed to drive a commensurate change in temperature to best fit the

temperature proxies. The multi-model ensemble needs a 30 or 33% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$ , to best fit MAT or SST proxies respectively, a difference of just 3%. These proxy-model intercomparisons identify  $p\text{CO}_2$  as the primary forcing of EOT cooling, with a magnitude (-200 or -243 ppmv) approaching that of the  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxies (-150 ppmv). However individual model estimates span -66 to -375 ppmv, thus proxy-model uncertainties are dominated by model divergence.

## **Plain Language Summary**

Antarctica was once a continent with little to no ice on it. Around 34 million years ago Antarctica developed its first permanent ice sheet as temperatures cooled. To evaluate how much cooling occurred on and around Antarctica, we compiled evidence from the molecules left behind by ancient organisms, that carry information about temperature, as reported previously in the literature. We then compared the ancient evidence to climate model experiments which allows us to test cause and effect. Cooling is thought to be caused by a drop in carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere, and we tested how much carbon dioxide levels would need to drop to explain the cooling found. Our estimates are similar to independent evidence from marine organisms for carbon dioxide concentrations.

**Keywords:** EOT, DeepMIP, IODP, BAYSPLINE, BAYSPAR, BAYMBT

## **1. Introduction**

The Eocene-Oligocene Transition (EOT) spans 34.4 to 33.7 Ma (Coxall & Pearson, 2007; Hutchinson et al., 2021; Katz et al., 2008) and marks the growth of permanent ice sheets on Antarctica (McKay et al., 2022). This transition includes a two-step increase in benthic foraminiferal  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  by 1.2‰ (Westerhold et al., 2020). The first step increase in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{benthic}}$  is harder to identify and does not appear in all records relative to the second step, referred to as the Earliest Oligocene Isotope Step (Hutchinson et al., 2021), which is an increase in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{benthic}}$  of 0.7‰ or more denoting the expansion of the Antarctic ice sheet. Estimates for the size of the ice sheet based on the benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  signal suggest an ice sheet 60-130% of the modern East Antarctic Ice Sheet (Bohaty et al., 2012b; Lear et al., 2008). This transition is marked by

a decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Rae et al., 2021), temperature (Coxall & Pearson, 2007; Hutchinson et al., 2021; Lear et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009), and sea level (Houben et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2020). An early hypothesis for the growth of permanent ice sheets on Antarctica was that gateway openings at the Drake Passage and Tasman Gateway led to thermal isolation of Antarctica (Kennett, 1977). Several ocean-only or intermediate complexity climate models suggest that the opening or deepening of the Southern Ocean gateways could have a local cooling effect close to the Antarctic coast (Sauermilch et al., 2021; Sijp et al., 2009). However, the accumulating proxy records and coupled climate modelling experiments have indicated that the gateway hypothesis does not fully explain the global cooling experienced at the EOT (e.g., Hutchinson et al., 2021; Lauretano et al., 2021). Ocean circulation proxy reconstructions indicate that the timing does not match the proposed mechanism. Deep water currents through the Tasman Gateway were first established around 30 Ma (Scher et al., 2015), i.e., after the EOT. For the Drake Passage, full opening may have occurred even later, in the Miocene (Dalziel et al., 2013).

A growing consensus is that a decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  across the EOT is the primary driver for the EOT and temperature decrease globally (DeConto & Pollard, 2003; Goldner et al., 2014; Hutchinson et al., 2021; Lauretano et al., 2021; Pagani et al., 2011). Previous model proxy comparisons indicating a decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  by 40% can explain the global temperature shift (Hutchinson et al., 2021). Recent  $p\text{CO}_2$  compilations (Rae et al., 2021) constrain a decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  from 980 to 830 ppmv, a 16% decrease, based on the boron isotope proxy (Anagnostou et al., 2016, 2020; Henehan et al., 2020; Pearson et al., 2009) and from 660 to 520 ppmv from alkenones, a 27% decrease, across the EOT (Pagani et al., 2005, 2011). Both proxies converge on the magnitude of the decrease being just 140-150 ppmv between the late Eocene and the early Oligocene, and when averaging across both proxies there is roughly a 25% decrease across the EOT (Rae et al., 2021). Although carbon dioxide has been established as the leading cause, additional feedbacks are invoked from both the ice-albedo feedback and gateway-induced changes to deep-water formation (Goldner et al., 2014). Several coupled climate model studies have found a shift from South Atlantic to South Pacific deep-water formation across the EOT due to Southern Ocean

gateway opening (Kennedy et al., 2015; Toumoulin et al., 2020). Furthermore, deep water circulation proxies suggest that there was an expansion of North Atlantic Deep Water formation around the EOT (Coxall et al., 2018), supported by paleogeographic and modelling evidence of the Arctic becoming isolated from the North Atlantic (Hutchinson et al., 2019; Vahlenkamp et al., 2018). These studies suggest that ocean gateway and ice sheet changes could be involved in driving the observed changes at the EOT, although declining  $p\text{CO}_2$  is the only mechanism proven to cause global cooling.

Climate models allow the drivers of change to be tested. Inter-model differences in boundary conditions (e.g., continental configuration) and parameterization schemes can lead to different outcomes. Multi-model comparisons can test the robustness of hypotheses for the transition to these differences in model formulation. One surprising feature of climate model experiments, is the finding of a smaller decrease in surface air temperatures at higher latitudes in comparison to mid-latitudes across the EOT (Kennedy-Asser et al., 2020). Model experiments also indicate Southern Ocean sea surface temperatures (SSTs) cooled more than the land at the same latitude. SST proxies indicate a global average cooling of  $2.5^\circ\text{C}$  across the EOT and regional differences in cooling ranging from  $0$  to  $8^\circ\text{C}$  (Hutchinson et al., 2021).

Compiled global land surface mean air temperature (MAT) proxy records suggest a global mean cooling of  $2.3^\circ\text{C}$  with latitudinal and regional differences in cooling from  $0$  to  $8^\circ\text{C}$  (Hutchinson et al., 2021).

However, proxy records are concentrated in northern mid-latitudes with limited records from the Southern Hemisphere and few from Antarctica. The sparse coverage of proxy records in the Southern Hemisphere and from Antarctica has hampered past efforts to evaluate model outputs.

We now have more temperature records to assess the magnitude of the land and sea temperature shift across the EOT surrounding the Southern Ocean. For example, there are now *br*GDGT-based temperature estimates on both sides of the Southern Ocean from Prydz Bay (Tibbett et al., 2021a) and South Australia (Lauretano et al., 2021). We add these new records to compiled proxies and multi-model experiments for the EOT (compiled by Hutchinson et al., 2021). Hutchinson et al., (2021) compiled proxy data globally, whereas we take a more in-depth look at the southern high latitudes ( $>45^\circ\text{S}$ ) including Antarctica. For this

proxy-model comparison, we update the proxy compilation using the latest calibrations and we update proxies onto a comparable timescale. In contrast to the recent high latitude study by Lauretano et al., (2021) that compared to a single climate model, we compare to the full suite of model experiments as in Hutchinson et al., (2021). While the individual model experiments generally used a halving of carbon dioxide to force a large EOT response, we scale the model experiments to identify the  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing required to better reproduce the temperature anomaly across the transition observed in the proxy data in the high southern latitudes. The focused multi-proxy, multi-model high latitude comparison allows us to identify sub-regional differences in the proxies and in the climate model experiments to reach new understanding of the forcing and response during the Eocene-Oligocene Transition on and around Antarctica.

## **2. Methods**

### **2.1. Proxy data**

Proxy temperature records were collected south of 45°S (Figure 1a) based on paleolatitudes for the late Eocene (38 to 34 Ma) and early Oligocene (34 to 30 Ma) collating records from land and sea for MAT and SST (**Figure 1b,c**). Paleocoordinates were reconstructed using the modern day drilling coordinates and (Müller et al., 2018) to reconstruct paleolatitude and paleolongitudes at 34 Ma. Proxy methods for the temperature reconstructions are noted and where appropriate the data were recalibrated to the latest methods for compatibility within the compilation (as described in the following sections on MAT and SST). Age models were updated to the GTS2012 age model (Gradstein et al., 2012) for comparability in the 4 Ma windows bracketing the EOT transition. Each of these updates (location, proxy calibration and age model can be found in the proxy synthesis (Tibbitt et al., 2022a).

#### **2.1.1. MAT**

For the southern continents, reconstructions of mean annual air temperatures (MAT, **Table 1**) come from palynological analysis (Francis et al., 2008; Hunt & Poole, 2003; Macphail & Truswell, 2004; Poole et

al., 2005; Truswell & Macphail, 2009), which identifies pollen grains to plant genus or species level and constrains the climate based on known temperature and precipitation ranges of the extant species or nearest living relative (NLR) (Amoo et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 2022), as a probability density function (and central estimate) of likely climatic range (Harbert & Nixon, 2015; Hollis et al., 2019; Willard et al., 2019). The temperature compilation for the continents also includes mineral weathering via the S-index climofunction (Passchier et al., 2013, 2017) which is based on the molar ratio of Na<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>2</sub>O to Al<sub>2</sub>O released during weathering (Sheldon et al., 2002).

We also compiled records using the soil bacterial biomarkers, the branched Glycerol Dialkyl Glycerol Tetraethers (*brGDGTs*). The original MBT/CBT (Cyclization of Branched Tetraethers) index (Douglas et al., 2014) includes temperature responsive methylation, but also the cyclization of *brGDGTs*, which varies with pH complicating that paleothermometer (Weijers et al., 2007). A newer method determines the MBT'<sub>5Me</sub> index based only on the methylation of *brGDGTs*, which responds to temperature (Hopmans et al., 2016), an approach available after improvement in the separation of the 5- and 6-methyl *brGDGTs* (De Jonge et al., 2014; Hopmans et al., 2016). The MBT'<sub>5Me</sub> index has been calibrated to temperature (both mean annual and months above freezing) with the Bayesian regression model of the Methylation of Branched Tetraethers index (BayMBT) (Dearing Crampton-Flood et al., 2020). For both the Eocene and the Oligocene cases the MAF and MAT estimates are indistinguishable. BayMBT calibrated data are reported with the calibration to mean annual air temperature for the purposes of consistency with other mean annual air temperature (MAT) proxies and the reporting conventions for proxy-model comparison. However we will return to the seasonal question and the latest calibrations to months above freezing (MAF), based on the understanding that soil microbial communities are unlikely to be active below freezing (Deng et al., 2016; Weijers et al., 2007, 2011), at the end of the discussion. All BayMBT records, from marine drill cores, were screened for additional indices (e.g., BIT and #Rings<sub>tetra</sub>) that can denote confounding factors similar to tests in Tibbett et al., (2022b), as no aquatic overprinting was identified for the records, none were excluded. The WW7 record is from a peat deposit

and therefore is not at risk for aquatic overprinting. The MBT/CBT record cannot be recalibrated using BayMBT due to the lack of separation of the 5 and 6 methyl isomers but is retained. We have one instance of a peat-based temperature estimate (Lauretano et al., 2021) reported using the MBT<sub>peat</sub> calibration (Naafs et al., 2017) that was recalibrated using BayMBT (Dearing Crampton-Flood et al., 2020), with no significant change in estimated MAT.

**Table 1.** Mean annual air temperature proxy compilation for late Eocene (38-34 Ma) and early Oligocene (34-30 Ma).

\* Douglas et al., (2014) also reported MBT/CBT, excluded as unrealistically warm. \*\*Pollen-based

Location	Lat	Long	Proxy	Late Eocene MAT		Early Oligocene MAT		O-E	Reference
				Mean (°C)	1 $\sigma$ (°C)	Mean (°C)	1 $\sigma$ (°C)	$\Delta$ (°C)	
739, 742, 1166	-67.3	75.1	BayMBT	11.0	2.0	6.8	2.6	-4.2	Tibbett et al., 2021b
739, 742, 1166	-67.3	75.1	S-index	10.4	1.0	8.1	0.5	-2.3	Passchier et al., 2017
CIROS-1 CRP Sites 2/3	-77.7	163.5	S-index	8.7	1.2	7.8	1.3	-0.9	Passchier et al., 2013
U1356	-63.3	136.0	S-index			8.9	1.2		Passchier et al., 2013
WW7	-38.2	147.1	BayMBT	23.3	1.6	20.2	1.2	-3.1	Lauretano et al., 2021 recalibrated using BayMBT
Seymour Island	-64.4	-56.8	MBT/CBT*	12.2	2.3				Douglas et al., 2014
King George Island, Dragon Glacier	-62.1	-58.9	Pollen**	12.0					Hunt & Poole, 2003; Poole et al., 2005
King George Island, Fossil Hill	-62.1	-58.9	Pollen**	13.3					Poole et al., 2005
McMurdo	-77.6	166.4	Pollen**	13.0					Francis et al., 2008
King George Island, South Sheltand Island	-62.0	-58.4	Pollen**	13.4					Francis et al., 2008
1166	-67.3	75.1	Pollen**	12.0					Macphail & Truswell, 2004; Truswell & Macphail, 2009
CRP-3	-77.0	163.7	Pollen**			6.5			Francis et al., 2008
SHALDRIL	-63.8	-54.7	BayMBT	6.9	0.5				Tibbett et al., 2022c
696	-61.8	-42.9	Pollen	11.9	1.8	11.2	1.0	-0.7	Thompson et al., 2022
1172	-43.9	158.3	Pollen	11.7	1.7	11.9	1.7	+0.2	Amoo et al., 2022

temperature estimates are reported here as MAT. Standard deviations represent timeseries variability.

Latitude and longitude are reported for present positions and are reported to 0.1° resolution.

### 2.1.2. SST

Southern high latitude SST records (**Table 2**) are from the archaeal membrane lipid TEX<sub>86</sub> index (Douglas et al., 2014; Hartman et al., 2018; Lauretano et al., 2021; Tibbett et al., 2021a) which is based on the relationship between SST and the degree of cyclization of isoprenoidal GDGTs (isoGDGTs) produced by Crenarchaeota (Schouten et al., 2002). Additional SST records include the haptophyte algal biomarker U<sup>k'</sup><sub>37</sub> index (Houben et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2009; Pagani et al., 2011; Plancq et al., 2014) produced by Reticulofenestrads in the Eocene and Oligocene (Henderiks & Pagani, 2008). The U<sup>k'</sup><sub>37</sub> index SST relationship is based on the proportion of di- and tri-unsaturated C<sub>37</sub> alkenones (Prah & Wakeham, 1987; Sikes et al., 1997; Sikes & Volkman, 1993). Carbonate clumped isotopes  $\Delta_{47}$  values measured on shallow coastal bivalves were also included as SST proxies (Douglas et al., 2014; Petersen & Schrag, 2015) since “clumped” <sup>18</sup>O-<sup>13</sup>C is responsive to temperature (Ghosh et al., 2006). For Douglas et al., 2014 the TEX<sub>86</sub> SSTs were reevaluated using BAYSPAR (Bayesian, Spatially-Varying Regression calibration for TEX<sub>86</sub>) (Tierney & Tingley, 2014) with a prior of 13°C and a standard deviation of 15°C. Other TEX<sub>86</sub> records from the Southern Ocean were either originally calibrated with BAYSPAR (Hartman et al., 2018; Lauretano et al., 2021; Tibbett et al., 2021a), or were recently reevaluated using BAYSPAR (Lauretano et al., 2021) with priors ranging from 12 to 21°C and a standard deviation of 20°C. The U<sup>k'</sup><sub>37</sub> records were reinterpreted using the latest BAYSPLINE (B-spline fit with a Bayesian regression) calibration (Tierney & Tingley, 2018).

**Table 2.** Sea surface temperature proxy compilation for the late Eocene (38-34 Ma) and early Oligocene (34-30 Ma)

Site	Lat	Long	Proxy	l. Eocene SST		e. Oligocene SST		O-E	Reference
				Mean (°C)	1 $\sigma$ (°C)	Mean (°C)	1 $\sigma$ (°C)	$\Delta$ (°C)	
739, 742, 1166	-67.3	75.1	BAYSPAR	12.6	1.7	10.4	1.1	-2.2	Tibbett et al., 2021b
689	-64.5	-3.1	$\Delta_{47}$	13.3	5.0	12.0	0.9	-1.3	Petersen & Schrag, 2015
511	-51.0	-47.0	BAYSPAR	15.7	2.1	13.1	1.0	-2.6	Houben et al., 2019 *
511	-51.0	-47.0	BAYSPLINE	17.6	2.1	10.8 <sup>x</sup>	2.5	-6.8	Lauretano et al., 2021
									Houben et al., 2019 <sup>#, **</sup>



511	-51.0	-47.0	BAYSPLINE	18.2	2.5	10.7 <sup>x</sup>	0.8	-7.5	Liu et al., 2009; Planck et al., 2014, from Elsworth et al., 2017 **
277	-52.2	166.2	BAYSPAR	26.6	1.1	24.0	0.4	-2.6	Pagani et al., 2011, *
277	-52.2	166.2	BAYSPLINE	25.4	1.8	23.1	2.0	-2.3	Lauretano et al., 2021
1172	-43.9	158.3	BAYSPAR	20.5	1.1	20.5	0.9	0	Pagani et al., 2011 **
U1356	-63.3	136.0	BAYSPAR			18.7	2.0		Houben et al., 2019 *
Seymour Island	-64.4	-56.8	BAYSPAR	13.5	2.1				from Lauretano et al., 2021
Seymour Island	-64.4	-56.8	$\Delta_{47}$	12.9	0.7				Hartman et al., 2018
									Douglas et al., 2014 *
									Douglas et al., 2014

179 \*TEX<sub>86</sub> recalibrated from original publication with BAYSPAR. \*\* U<sup>k'</sup><sub>37</sub> recalibrated with BAYSPLINE.  
180 Standard deviations represent timeseries variability. Latitude and longitude are reported for present  
181 positions and are reported to 0.1° resolution. # DSDP Site 511 SST reconstruction (Houben et al., 2019)  
182 updated with ages from Lauretano et al., (2021). \*Site 511 has two BAYSPLINE entries, both with  
183 anomalous Oligocene cooling, that are excluded from the proxy-model comparison.

### 184 2.1.3.Proxy Uncertainty

185 Proxy uncertainty varies by proxy and is defined in the original calibration studies for each proxy,  
186 although the uncertainty is necessarily less well known in application to the past. For the GDGT-based  
187 proxies, SST and MAT values estimated by BAYSPAR and BayMBT respectively, carry one standard  
188 deviation calibration uncertainty ca. 4°C. The standard error reported for the linear regression of  
189 MBT/CBT to temperature is 5.5°C (Weijers et al., 2011). The U<sup>k'</sup><sub>37</sub> BAYSPLINE calibration carries a  
190 standard deviation of ca. 4°C (Tierney & Tingley, 2018). For clumped isotopes uncertainties come from  
191 instrument error, sample heterogeneity and accuracy summarized as 2.5°C in the Seymour Island study  
192 (Douglas et al., 2014). Pollen temperatures generated from nearest living relative analysis are reported to  
193 have a standard deviation of 2 to 3°C (Amoo et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 2022). For S-index the  
194 reported calibration standard deviation is 3.6°C (Sheldon et al., 2002). The approaches used to quantify  
195 uncertainty do vary between calibration approaches, with the error propagation captured rigorously in the  
196 bayesian calibrations and may be underreported in other cases. Beyond calibration uncertainty, the  
197 uncertainty around the central estimate for each timeslice is dependent upon the number of data points  
198 and the variability and length of the window chosen. For the intervals chosen here we report the standard  
199 deviation for each timeseries representing the variability around the means for each timeslice (**Table 1**

**and 2).** The selection of a longer time window can lead to more time averaging and thus dampening of the magnitude of the transition, as will be explored in the results.

At sites with multi-proxy reconstructions, we can assess the direction and magnitude of proxy-proxy discrepancy at each site and for each timeslice, and we can test sensitivity to the exclusion of single reconstructions and proxy types in addition to the comparison of MAT versus SST proxies which are also independent assemblages of data. As an example for land proxies, archived in marine sediments at Prydz Bay the difference between the S-index and BayMBT is up to 4°C. The S-index is cooler due to inferred higher elevation sourcing of the rock-erosion proxy (S-index) versus the lower elevation (warmer) sourcing of soil microbial biomarkers (Tibbett et al., 2021a). For the SST proxies, at Site 277 both BAYSPAR and BAYSPLINE agree within error with cooling of 2.2 and 2.6°C respectively across the EOT (Lauretano et al., 2021; Pagani et al., 2011). These two proxies agree despite different producers: haptophyte algae which produce alkenones (for the  $U^{k'}_{37}$  index and BAYSPLINE) are primary producers and are found in the photic zone (Popp et al., 2006; Volkman et al., 1980), whereas Thaumarchaeota (producers of *iso*GDGTs used for TEX<sub>86</sub> and BAYSPAR) were more abundant in the subsurface of the Southern Ocean (Kalanetra et al., 2009), raising the possibility that proxy-proxy discrepancies may in part arise from different depth habitats when there is a vertical gradient in ocean temperatures. Offsets may also relate to lateral advection of alkenones, and one place where this has previously been anomalous has been is on the Brazilian margin (Tierney and Tingley, 2018). In the same margin, at DSDP Site 511 alkenones record an anomalous cooling of ~8°C (Houben et al., 2019) interpreted with BAYSPLINE, whereas at the same site the TEX<sub>86</sub> proxy interpreted with BAYSPAR records ~3°C cooling (Lauretano et al., 2021) consistent with other high southern latitude EOT reconstructions. We exclude the anomalous cooling inferred from alkenones (BAYSPLINE) at Site 511 (**Table 2**) from proxy-model comparison, but we do perform a sensitivity test to show the worst case scenario proxy-proxy disagreement.

## **2.2. Models**

We re-use the ensemble of model experiments gathered onto a uniform grid used in the HadCM3BL model by Hutchinson et al., (2021). The initial grid resolution can be found in the original papers (**Table 3**) for each model simulation (Baatsen et al., 2020; Goldner et al., 2014; Hutchinson et al., 2018, 2019; Ladant, et al., 2014a; Ladant et al., 2014b; Sijp et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2012) The compiled experiments include two broad groupings 4x CO<sub>2</sub> (Eocene-like high  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) and 2x CO<sub>2</sub> (Oligocene-like low CO<sub>2</sub>) each run without ice sheets to isolate only the effects of changing  $p\text{CO}_2$  (**Table 3**). Additional model runs were included for a subset of models to compare other EOT drivers which included the paleogeography changes across the EOT (CESM\_H, GFDL CM2.1, HadCM3BL, FOAM, UViC, NorESM-L), and the inclusion of an ice sheet (CESM\_H, FOAM, HadCM3BL) (**Table 3**). In addition, an ensemble mean for each comparison was obtained by averaging across the model simulations. The  $p\text{CO}_2$  (2x vs 4x), paleogeography (pre-EOT vs post-EOT), and ice (no ice vs ice sheet) compared parameters can be found in Table 3. More detailed information on the boundary conditions for each simulation used can be found in the supplement (**Text S1**). In addition, all models used in each comparison were averaged to generate an ensemble mean for each analysis performed. A correction was applied to the NorESM-L model simulation that originally used a  $p\text{CO}_2$  drop from 980 to 560 ppmv. This was scaled by Hutchinson et al., (2021) to match the 4x/2x simulations (50% reduction in  $p\text{CO}_2$  across the EOT) in the other models and maintained here. The summary of the model parameters can be found in **Table 3** and detailed model run information for each of the models in the ensemble can be found in Hutchinson et al., (2021; and references therein). Here, we scale the model outputs to the proxy temperature differences to identify the  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease across the EOT. As in the approach of Hutchinson et al., (2021), the 2x-4x  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Oligocene-Eocene) model results were scaled by a constant, ranging from 0 to 2, with 1 representing a 50% decrease (2x-4x  $p\text{CO}_2$ ), from the initial models, to determine the forcing required to achieve the best fit between the proxies and the model for each simulation. Although commonly referred to as surface air temperature (SAT) in the model literature, we refer to land surface mean air temperatures as MAT, to be consistent with the proxy literature.

249 **Table 3.** Model simulation parameters compared for each set of paired model runs

Model	$p\text{CO}_2$ (ppmv) model simulations		ice model simulations (volume $\text{km}^3$ )		paleogeography model simulations		Reference
	Eocene	Olig.	Eocene	Olig.	Eocene	Olig.	
CESM_B	1120	560	no ice		38 Ma (no paleogeography changes between timeslices)		Baatsen et al., 2020
CESM_H	1120	560	no ice	$20.3 \times 10^6$	Drake and Tasman closed	Drake and Tasman open	Goldner et al., 2014
FOAM	1120	560	no ice	$25.0 \times 10^6$	WA below sea level	WA above sea level	Ladant et al., 2014a; Ladant., et al., 2014b
GFDL CM2.1	800	400	no ice		Arctic gateway open	Arctic gateway closed	Hutchinson et al., 2018, 2019
HadCM3BL	1120	560	no ice	$17.0 \times 10^6$	Priabonian reconstruction	Chattian reconstruction	Kennedy et al., 2015
NorESM-L	1120 (980 initial)	560	no ice		35 Ma reconstruction	33 Ma reconstruction	Zhang et al., 2012, 2014
UViC	1600	1600	no ice		Drake closed	Drake Open	Sijp et al., 2016

250 Three sets of model simulations were compared  $p\text{CO}_2$  high vs low (4x vs 2x), no ice vs ice simulations,  
 251 and paleogeographic simulations pre-EOT and post-EOT. The simulations outside of the pairings do not  
 252 have the same conditions (**Text S1**). For models with more than one parameter all other factors held  
 253 constant. NorESM-L was scaled to 1120 ppmv. For the ice/no ice runs  $p\text{CO}_2$  is held constant at 560  
 254 ppmv. For the paleogeography runs the  $p\text{CO}_2$  for both pre- and post-EOT is 1120 ppmv for CESM\_H,  
 255 560 ppmv for FOAM, HadCM3BL and NorESM-L, 800 ppmv for GFDL CM2.1, and 1600 ppmv for  
 256 UViC. Drake = Drake Passage, Tasman = Tasman Gateway, WA = West Antarctica, Olig = Oligocene.

257

258 Where land temperature proxies (e.g., soil bacterial biomarkers or soil weathering indicators) were  
 259 recovered from marine sedimentary archives, we inferred sourcing from the adjacent continent. Source  
 260 regions were defined on the adjacent land mass, averaging the modelled surface temperatures within an  
 261 area reflective of Antarctic drainage basins. The source region was adjusted for the Prydz Bay BayMBT  
 262 record as the organic material is likely sourced from the lowland soils (Tibbett et al., 2021a) with  
 263 sourcing based on topography reconstruction at 34 Ma (Paxman et al., 2019). The Oligocene source  
 264 region was adjusted for land proxy records from Prydz Bay and from the Ross Sea as ice growth means  
 265 they were likely limited to coastal sourcing (Van Breedam et al., 2022), while records from Wilkes Land  
 266 were not limited to the coast as the East Antarctic Ice Sheet was determined to be further inland (Paxman  
 267 et al., 2018).

For SST proxies we assume they capture temperatures in the overlying water column at the marine core site and thus compare to the nearest grid point within the model. In cases where marine archives appeared to plot “on land” due to modelled coastline imprecision, we obtained model comparison points from the nearest ocean grid cell for comparison to the marine core derived SST proxies. The proxy-model intercomparison differences are expressed as root mean square error (RMSE) (equation 1) with n as the number of proxies. This was assessed for each model scenario (Eocene and Oligocene) and the difference between the two.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (model-proxy)^2}{n}} \quad (1)$$

### 2.2.1 Sensitivity to proxy spatial coverage and model heterogeneity

To evaluate how the availability of additional proxy data might potentially improve comparisons with climate models, we performed a model sensitivity test, to an increasing number of constraints, while also illuminating the existing model limitations through model-model comparisons. First, we assigned one “perfect” model simulation as having the “true” temperature and using the same proxy sampling site locations as used in our initial proxy-model comparison we compared to each of the other models to evaluate the error. We then modeled the effect of adding all possible marine grid cells from 45°S to the Antarctic coastline for SST (n=710) and all possible land grid cells (n=960) from 60°S to 90°S for MAT comparisons. This type of approach helps to constrain uncertainties that derive from inter-model differences, which are hidden by the model ensemble approach.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Temperature change in the proxies

The compiled temperature proxies’ distributions (**Figure 1a**), and timeseries are presented for MAT (**Figure 1b**) and SST (**Figure 1c**). Although the EOT cooling is hidden by the spread of temperatures across latitudes (**Figure 1b,c**) after parsing the data by region and calculating the cooling anomalies relative to the Eocene, the EOT cooling pattern emerges more clearly (**Figure 1d, e**) as a significant shift

in regional climate, that is linked to the global features of forcing and ice volume and deep ocean temperature represented by  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{benthic}}$  (**Figure 1f**). While the details of each timeseries have been explored in the original publications, here we summarize the data for individual proxy and sites, for MAT (**Table 1**) and SST proxies (**Table 2**) for the 4 Ma windows bracketing the EOT. We use the mean values for the late Eocene (38-34 Ma) and early Oligocene (34-30 Ma) for the proxy-model comparisons, and, thus we are able to make use of records that only constrain one or either time period as well as those that span the transition.

### 3.1.1. Time-averaging of variable timeseries

Averaging may attenuate the magnitude of EOT cooling, for example where a rebound in temperature occurs post-EOT (Bohaty et al., 2012a; Tibbett et al., 2021b) perhaps linked to a rebound in  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Pearson et al., 2009, Anagnostou et al., 2020). At Prydz Bay, cooling at the EOT was reported to be 5°C and 4°C for MAT and SST respectively (Tibbett et al., 2021a), but by averaging across the bracketing 4 Ma windows (38-34 and 34-30 Ma), the early Oligocene to late Eocene cooling is 4.2°C and 2.2°C for SST and MAT respectively – i.e., averaging dampens the calculated cooling by 30-45%. To assess sensitivity of the  $p\text{CO}_2$  scaling to the selected window length we compared the effects of averaging 4, 2 and 1 Ma pre and post-EOT. The MAT RMSE for 4 Ma averages ranged from 1.38 to 2.34°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 11 to 30%. Using a 2 Ma window the RMSE ranged from 1.38 to 2.44°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 6 to 29% and for 1 Ma the RMSE ranged from 1.58 to 2.55°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 17 to 40%. The SST RMSE for 4 Ma averages ranged from 0.90 to 1.45°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 21 to 46%. Using a 2 Ma window the RMSE ranged from 0.52 to 0.80°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 18 to 44% and for 1 Ma the RMSE ranged from 1.28 to 2.19°C with a  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease of 32 to 70% (**Supplemental Table S6 and S7**). As we saw no significant improvement for both MAT and SST with other windows, we maintain the 4 Ma windows to best capture proxy availability.

## 3.2. Temperature change in the model simulations

### 3.2.1. $p\text{CO}_2$ model simulations

To compare the model simulations for the Antarctic the MAT was limited to  $>60^{\circ}\text{S}$  and for SST  $>45^{\circ}\text{S}$ . The Antarctic temperature change between the Oligocene and Eocene model simulations reflects a 50% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$ . For the MAT model simulations the CESM\_B (O-E) temperature difference ranges from  $-6.4$  to  $-2.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-4.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , for CESM\_H it ranges from  $-6.3$  to  $-1.9^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ , GFDL CM2.1 ranges from  $-9.8$  to  $-3.9^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-6.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ , HadCM3BL ranges from  $-15.0$  to  $+4.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-2.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ , FOAM ranges from  $-6.5$  to  $-2.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-4.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ , NorESM-L ranges from  $-6.7$  to  $0.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the ensemble mean of the 6 models (excluding UviC as there were no simulations for a change in  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) ranges from  $-7.3$  to  $-2.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-4.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The models exhibit an average decrease of  $-4.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  for MAT from the Eocene to the Oligocene for the 50% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  simulated for the Antarctic. For SST the CESM\_B Antarctic temperature change between the Oligocene and Eocene timeslice ranges from  $-4.4$  to  $-1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ , for CESM\_H it ranges from  $-4.8$  to  $-1.9^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ , GFDL CM2.1 ranges from  $-9.6$  to  $-3.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-5.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , HadCM3BL ranges from  $-4.3$  to  $+4.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-1.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , FOAM ranges from  $-7.5$  to  $-1.9^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ , NorESM-L ranges from  $-3.7$  to  $+0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the ensemble mean of the 6 models, excluding UviC, ranging from  $-9.5$  to  $-0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-3.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . For the SST 50% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  model simulations, the average decrease in temperature for the Antarctic from the Eocene to Oligocene is  $-3.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### **3.2.2. Ice sheet model simulations**

Following the same geographic constraints as above we report the model temperature difference between the no ice (Eocene) and ice sheet (Oligocene). For CESM\_H the temperature ranges from  $-41.1$  to  $+1.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-12.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , HadCM3BL ranges from  $-37.2$  to  $+6.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-11.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , FOAM ranges from  $-37.4$  to  $+3.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-14.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the ensemble mean ranges from  $-37.6$  to  $+0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-13.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ . For SST, CESM\_H ranges from  $-8.8$  to  $+1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-1.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , HadCM3BL ranges from  $-2.4$  to  $+3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ , FOAM ranges from  $-6.0$  to  $+2.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $+0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the ensemble mean ranges from  $-8.8$  to  $+1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a mean of  $-0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The average change in

temperature across the 3 model simulations, excluding the ensemble mean, is -12.6°C and -0.5°C for MAT and SST respectively.

### 3.2.3. Paleogeography model simulations

The model simulation temperature difference between the pre-EOT and post-EOT paleogeographies vary by model. For MAT CESM\_H ranges from -1.9 to +3.7°C with a mean of +0.1°C, GFDL\_CM2.1 ranges from +0.6 to +2.2°C with a mean of +1.4°C, HadCM3BL ranges from -9.6 to +19.1°C with a mean of +1.9°C, FOAM ranges from -5.0 to +2.2°C with a mean of -0.3°C, UviC ranges from -2.0 to +1.3°C with a mean of -0.8°C, NorESM-L ranges from -2.4 to +1.4°C with a mean of -0.1°C, and the ensemble mean it ranges from -2.6 to +3.4°C with a mean of +0.4°C. For SST CESM\_H ranges from -2.0 to +1.5°C with a mean of -0.3°C, GFDL\_CM2.1 ranges from -0.6 to +3.0°C with a mean of 1.2°C, HadCM3BL ranges from -2.3 to +4.5°C with a mean of +1.5°C, FOAM ranges from -4.4 to +1.8°C with a mean of -1.2°C, UviC ranges from -4.2 to +7.0°C with a mean of -0.5°C, NorESM-L ranges from -3.2 to 1.9°C with a mean of -0.4°C, and the ensemble mean ranges from -3.5 to +2.6°C with a mean of +0.1°C. The average change across the EOT, excluding the ensemble mean, comparing the paleogeography runs is +0.1 for SST°C and +0.4°C for MAT.

## 3.3. Proxy-model temperature comparison

### 3.3.1. Temperature comparison within “*p*CO<sub>2</sub> runs” without an ice sheet

For the *p*CO<sub>2</sub> runs, the RMSE for the MAT ranges from 4.6 to 7.9°C for the Eocene, 5.0 to 7.3°C for the Oligocene, and 2.3 to 3.6°C for the difference comparison (**Figure 2 and Figure S1**). The RMSE for the SST ranges from 4.6 to 8.7°C for the Eocene, 5.2 to 9.4°C for the Oligocene, and 1.2 to 3.5°C for the temperature comparison (**Figure 3 and Figure S3**). The best fit for the Eocene data is CESM\_B for both MAT and SSTs (4.6°C); however, for MAT CESM\_H and GFDL CM2.1 also had an RMSE of 4.6°C as well as GFDL CM2.1 for SST. All three models for MAT had the same RMSE for the Oligocene timslice which was the lowest RMSE (5.7°C) and CESM\_B had the lowest for SSTs (5.2°C). The lowest RMSE for the 2x-4x *p*CO<sub>2</sub> comparison comes from FOAM of 2.4°C for MAT and for SSTs the lowest RMSE



was 1.2°C from HadCM3BL. Although they have the best fit to the data this would imply a higher  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease given the difference between the Eocene and Oligocene runs is a halving of  $p\text{CO}_2$ . The ensemble mean RMSE, for a halving of  $p\text{CO}_2$ , is 2.3°C and 1.6°C for MATs and SSTs respectively.

### 3.3.2. Temperature comparison with the “ice sheet” runs

For the ice sheet comparison only 3 models were used (CESM\_H, HadCM3BL, and FOAM) as well as the ensemble mean. The RMSE for MAT ranged from 7.9 to 10.4°C for the Eocene, 10.5 to 15.4°C for the Oligocene, and 2.7 to 8.1°C for the ice-no ice comparison (**Figure 4 and Figure S4**). The RMSE for SST ranged from 8.6 to 10.3°C for the Eocene, 7.5 to 8.8°C for the Oligocene, and 1.6 to 2.5°C for the ice-no ice comparison (**Figure 4 and Figure S5**). The lowest RMSE for both MAT and SST for the difference comparison is HadCM3BL. FOAM has the highest RMSE across all three time slices for SST. The Eocene MAT no ice runs have a higher RMSE (average of ~9°C when excluding the ensemble mean) compared to the MAT Eocene  $p\text{CO}_2$  run RMSE (average of ~6°C excluding the ensemble mean) which are run at a higher  $p\text{CO}_2$  (800-1120 ppmv versus 560 ppmv for Eocene ice runs) indicating that a high  $p\text{CO}_2$  is needed to better reflect Eocene temperatures. For the Oligocene there are substantial proxy-model discrepancies (high RMSE) for MAT. Proxies confidently identify MAT above freezing, whereas the climate models forced with a large difference between the ice and no ice runs yields, as high as -40°C (**Section 3.3.2**), too large a cooling compared to proxies.

### 3.3.3. Temperature comparison within the “Paleogeography” runs

Paleogeography was changed across all models except CESM\_B, and to isolate the effects of paleogeography,  $p\text{CO}_2$  was held constant between the Eocene and Oligocene runs. CESM\_H, GDFL CM2.1, and UViC reflect changes in ocean gateways (**Table 3**). CESM\_H contrasts Tasman and Drake passage closed pre-EOT and open post-EOT. GDFL CM2.1 simulations have the Arctic Gateway open pre-EOT and closed post-EOT, and UViC has the Drake Passage closed pre-EOT and open post-EOT. FOAM has West Antarctica above sea level in the Eocene and mostly below sea level in the Oligocene

representation. HadCM3BL model slight changes in continental positions from the Late Eocene to early  
 Oligocene with a small shift in latitude and longitude as well as the coastline (**Figure S6 and S7**; as well  
 as Figure S3 in Hutchinson et al., 2021). NorESM-L also models paleogeography changes; however,  
 these changes are found at latitudes ranging from 0 to 30°N with no change in the Antarctic continental  
 position or coastline between the Eocene and Oligocene timeslices (**Figure S6 and S7**, as well as Figure  
 S3 in Hutchinson et al., 2021). The RMSE for MAT ranged from 4.6 to 10.8°C for the Eocene, 2.9 to  
 8.8°C for the Oligocene, and 3.5 to 6.5°C for the difference (post-EOT-pre-EOT) comparison (**Figure 4**  
**and Figure S6**). The RMSE for SST ranged from 4.6 to 10.3°C for the Eocene, 4.3 to 11.8°C for the  
 Oligocene, and 1.9 to 4.1°C for the difference comparison (**Figure 4 and Figure S7**). The difference  
 ensemble mean for MAT is 4.6°C and for SST is 2.3°C. The MAT for the GFDL CM2.1 run has the  
 lowest RMSE of 4.6°C for the Eocene and CESM\_H has the lowest RMSE of 2.9°C for the Oligocene.  
 For the Eocene and Oligocene SST runs GFDL CM2.1 had the lowest RMSE of 4.6°C for the Eocene and  
 UViC had the lowest RMSE of 4.3°C for the Oligocene. For the difference between the paleogeography  
 for each model run the lowest RMSE was 3.5°C from UViC for MAT and 1.8°C from FOAM for SST  
 (**Figure S6 and S7**). The best fit to the Eocene data is from the model with the lowest  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 800 ppmv  
 compared to the other models with  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 1120 ppmv and 1600 ppmv for UViC. Most of the models  
 suggest a warming in MAT with regional differences (**Figure S6**). This in contrast to the proxy data  
 which suggest temperature changes of 0 to -4°C. The model with the best fit for post/pre paleogeography  
 is FOAM which has the most cooling regionally. To note additional regional differences UViC indicates  
 more warming in the Pacific and Ross Sea sectors of the Southern Ocean while CESM\_H suggest  
 warming in the Atlantic and Indian Ocean sector with a cooling in the Pacific and Ross Sea sectors. This  
 difference could be attributed to the prescribed modeled gateway opening in the Southern Ocean with  
 CESM\_H modeling the opening of Drake Passage and the Tasman Gateway and UViC modeling the  
 opening of only Drake Passage. The overall warming trend suggests that paleogeography is not the  
 primary driver of hemispheric cooling as previously noted (Hutchinson et al., 2021; Kennedy-Asser et al.,  
 2020) but could impact regional differences in combination with  $p\text{CO}_2$ . It is plausible that

paleogeography changes could have indirectly triggered  $p\text{CO}_2$  changes. Two such mechanisms include a shift in the dominant basin of deep-water formation changing the ocean's ability to store carbon (Fyke et al., 2015; Speelman et al., 2009), or through land-based  $\text{CO}_2$  weathering feedbacks triggered by the onset of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Elsworth et al., 2017).

### 3.4. $\text{CO}_2$ scaling

Here we compare the updated Antarctic proxy record (rather than the global proxy data) with scaled  $p\text{CO}_2$  to both surface air temperature and sea surface temperature. For the  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease calculations the assumed post-EOT  $p\text{CO}_2$  is set at 560 ppmv, since this matches most of the models. RMSE was calculated between the difference between the 2x-4x  $p\text{CO}_2$  runs and the Oligocene-Eocene proxies for both MAT and SST. By varying the scaling factor, we found the lowest RMSE and the best estimated decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  for each model for MAT and SST proxies separately (**Figure 5, Table S1**). Averaging across all models (excluding the model ensemble mean), the average  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease is 170 ppmv for MAT and 234 ppmv for SST. This is equivalent to a 23 or 35%  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease when averaging all models excluding the model ensemble mean. The ensemble mean indicates a decrease of  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 30.3 and 33.1% across the Eocene-Oligocene Transition for MAT and SST respectively, equivalent to ~200 and ~234 ppmv. The difference of 3% is a measure of the proxy derived uncertainty, between two independent ensembles of proxies, used to perform the scaling experiments.

There are however large differences between individual models. The largest decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  is required in the HadCM3BL (362 ppmv) and NorESM-L (375 ppmv) climate models when fitting to the proxy SST data. When fitting to the smaller MAT difference, commensurately smaller changes are needed, with the largest changes needed in CESM\_H (221 ppmv) and FOAM (232 ppmv) when matching the shift in MAT. The lowest RMSE for MAT is 1.35°C and for SST it is 1.92°C for the GFDLCM 2.1 and CESM\_H model experiments respectively. Although the RMSE range is small, ranging from 1.4 to 2.3°C for MAT and 2.0 to 2.3°C for SST. SSTs have the highest range in  $p\text{CO}_2$  percent decrease (29.3 to 60.5%) in comparison to the MATs (10.5 to 30.3%) (**Table S1**). This implies larger model discrepancy in ocean

conditions than on land. Overall, a much larger proportion of uncertainty derives from model rather than proxy uncertainty.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Biases in proxy temperature records

Land temperature proxies carry uncertainties in absolute temperatures. For the S-index the absolute temperatures can be cool-biased (Sheldon & Tabor, 2009), and although attempts were made to account for recycling (Passchier et al., 2013, 2017), temperatures were cold-biased compared to soil biomarkers (Tibbett et al., 2021a), in predictable ways based on differing source regions. In this study we alter the source regions in the models to better represent the varying source regions (**Figure S2**). The nearest living relative (NLR) approach assumes that the climate tolerances of past species are similar to their modern relatives (Hollis et al., 2019) and uncertainty depends on the quality of modern data and identification of fossil taxa (Utescher et al., 2014). As usual, the best way to corroborate such uncertainties is through cross checks with independent data such as that available from leaf traits (Pound & Salzmann, 2017) and temperature reconstructions included here (Lauretano et al., 2021; Tibbett et al., 2021a) that support the nearest living relative results (Amoo et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 2022).

In almost all cases SST proxy-proxy agreement is good except at DSDP Site 511, on the Falkland Plateau, in the Atlantic Ocean, where the alkenone show an anomalous cooling and were excluded. Elsewhere, the proxies broadly agree thus there is likely no consistent proxy bias in terms of depth of production, seasonality or evolutionary changes not accounted for by calibration. Lateral advection of the alkenones is a likely explanation for offsets, and we note has previously led to the exclusion of data in this region from the modern datasets for the global calibration (Tierney & Tingley, 2018). Beyond the physical reasons for the offsets in the two proxies at Site 511, that are necessarily inadequately constrained for the ancient ocean, we can evaluate the numerical implications of the proxy uncertainty. As a worst-case scenario of proxy disagreement, we test inclusion of the anomalous Site 511 alkenone data. The anomalously large cooling ( $\sim 8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) leads to a large proxy-model RMSE  $2.07^{\circ}\text{C}$  and leads to the largest calculated  $p\text{CO}_2$

decrease across the EOT (44.1%), larger than proxy estimates. Our exclusion of this outlier reduces the  $p\text{CO}_2$  scaling to a 33.1% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$ , in line with proxy estimates (Rae et al., 2021) and the RMSE reduces to 1.01°C, making this the preferred choice. However, we note the low number of sites available ( $n=6$ ) limits the robustness of this  $\text{CO}_2$  scaling exercise overall.

We performed the same proxy-model temperature comparison, sequentially eliminating individual records. For SST ( $n=5$ ), there was no significant improvement in RMSE other than for the removal of Site 511 BAYSPLINE (**Supplemental Table S8**). The analysis was also performed by eliminating proxy types which for SST were BAYSPAR, BAYSPLINE, and clumped isotopes (**Supplemental Table S10**). For MAT ( $n=5$ ) there was no significant improvement to the RMSE by removing individual site (**Supplemental Table S9**). For MAT the proxy sets that were removed to evaluate the impact on the results were BayMBT, S-index, and pollen analysis (both NLR and pollen assemblage), with no notable effect (**Supplemental Table S11**). However, we acknowledge the small number of proxies ( $n=6$ ) for comparison.

To evaluate how the availability of additional marine core site SST and MAT reconstructions might improve comparisons with climate models, we performed a “perfect-model” sensitivity test, to an increasing number of constraints from the synthetic data first from the model grid cells corresponding to the proxy site locations and then from all available grid cells. Using only the “proxy sites” to compare between modelled SST values, the individual models converged on a 50% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  (**Figure S12**). For MAT, there is a larger discrepancy amongst models regarding the  $p\text{CO}_2$  change, likely due to land boundary condition differences especially topography. We then modeled the effect of adding all possible marine grid cells from 45°S to the Antarctic coastline for SST ( $n=710$ ) and all possible land grid cells ( $n=960$ ) from 60°S to 90°S for MAT comparisons. For most of the models the RMSE increased slightly between the initial model comparison with the prescribed proxy sites and the use of all the model grid cells. The ensemble mean increased from 1.37 to 1.42°C for MAT and 1.09 to 1.18°C for SST (**Fig S5**). Based on the minimal change in the RMSE from a low number of sites ( $n=6$  MAT,  $n=7$  SST) to the

maximum number of grid cells (n=960 MAT, n=710 SST) it does not appear that the number of proxies is the limiting factor in proxy-model comparison. Instead, the uncertainty in our proxy-model comparisons is primarily driven by discrepancies between the model simulations in terms of the climate sensitivity to the halving of CO<sub>2</sub>. Additionally, for MAT where the specified source region changes between the Eocene and Oligocene timeslice model differences in spatial pattern of prescribed model boundary conditions becomes a source of model spread. This analysis was therefore repeated assuming a constant surface area for the Eocene and Oligocene timeslices and the model mean then better reflect a 50% decrease using this perfect model approach. This improvement highlights a tradeoff between better representing the source regions and an additional source of model uncertainty due to the differences in topography.

#### **4.2. Ice sheet extent and ocean circulation**

Ice sheet model runs suggest SST cooling in all models with regional differences, although the RMSE is higher than in the other proxy-model comparisons (**Figure S5**). Previous modeling studies found the growth of the Antarctic ice sheet had a larger effect on SSTs than changing paleogeography (Goldner et al., 2014). The model studies show how growing ice sheets served as a positive feedback on ocean circulation changes and *p*CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown and cooling. Proxy data show the initiation of Atlantic meridional overturning circulation during the late Eocene/EOT (Coxall et al., 2018). The models used in this study have regional differences in warming and cooling around the Antarctic continent in response to the ice sheet and different feedbacks within the models, and their different boundary conditions. For example, FOAM shows warming in the Southern Ocean while CESM\_H shows cooling primarily with some warming in the Indian and Pacific Ocean sectors (**Figure S5**).

The defining feature of the Eocene Oligocene Transition is the glaciation of Antarctica. The model runs used to represent the Oligocene in this comparison have prescribed ice sheet sizes ranging from 17x10<sup>6</sup>, 20x10<sup>6</sup>, and 25 x10<sup>6</sup> km<sup>3</sup> for HadCM3BL, CESM\_H, and FOAM respectively (Goldner et al., 2014; Kennedy et al., 2015; Ladant et al., 2014a; Ladant et al., 2014b). These ice volumes correspond to

~65%, 75% and 95% of the modern Antarctic ice sheet respectively, which fall within estimates from benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  that place the EAIS at 60-130% of the modern EAIS, with uncertainty due to the large range of estimates for  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{ice}}$  for the Oligocene (Bohaty et al., 2012b; Lear et al., 2008). It should be noted that the range presented in the climate model are on the lower end of the ice volume estimates as the higher surface topography may have led to a larger ice sheet growth at the EOT with an estimated ice volume of  $33.4 \times 10^6$  to  $35.9 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$  (Wilson et al., 2013). Despite the relatively small Oligocene ice sheets in the model, climate model comparisons (ice-no ice) yield too large a cooling with a decrease of  $-40^\circ\text{C}$  in the middle of the continent ( $-47$  to  $+7^\circ\text{C}$  temperature change elsewhere). Likely the ice sheet contrast imposed is too great (**Figure S4**), and the problem may lie with the representation of the late Eocene.

The late Eocene included ephemeral glaciations notably the Priabonian Oxygen Isotope Maximum (PrOM) around  $\sim 37.5$  Ma reaching the coastline, but not persisting (Scher et al., 2014), with glacial initiation in the Gamburtsev Mountains during the latest Eocene (Rose et al., 2013) and glacial erosion before the EOT (Carter et al., 2017; Galeotti et al., 2016). Geochemical evidence from the Kerguelen Plateau at 33.9-33.6 Ma (Scher et al., 2011), and sedimentary records from the western Ross Sea suggest the EOT glacial expansion reached the coast at 32.8 Ma (Galeotti et al., 2016). Modeling ice sheet growth found ephemeral glaciation when  $p\text{CO}_2$  reached a threshold of 750-900 ppm (Van Breedam et al., 2022), these  $p\text{CO}_2$  levels were reached during the late Eocene as far as back as 40 Ma based on  $p\text{CO}_2$  reconstructions (**Figure 1f**) (Rae et al., 2021). Therefore, the lack of ice present in the late Eocene model runs does not match the available evidence for ephemeral ice in Antarctica and may contribute to the proxy-model temperature discrepancies for the individual timeslices.

After the EOT, the use of a full ice sheet for model outputs for the Oligocene is not consistent with pollen evidence for refugial vegetation on the Antarctic Peninsula (Anderson et al., 2011). Proxies record MAT above freezing in the Oligocene and the very presence of plants and soils bacteria indicates that an ice sheet did not cover the entire continent. The mismatch between reconstructed ice and the modelled ice/no ice scenario explains the large proxy-model RMSE for the EOT MATs (**Figure 4**). We would also like to

note that the ice sheet extent affects catchment sourcing. In this study, we defined source areas with basic polygons on the continent to represent the catchment area from which terrestrial proxies (e.g., soil biomarkers and rock weathering proxies) are exported to marginal marine settings. With the presence of a large ice sheet, soil and plant derived temperature proxies would be limited to unglaciated areas. However, detailed spatial ice sheet reconstructions are unavailable. Thus, for the purposes of this comparison, the source areas were chosen based on drainage basin, proxy type, and estimated ice sheet extent for the model runs.

### 4.3. Declining $p\text{CO}_2$

Based on the proxy-model comparison it is clear that the lowest RMSE for the Eocene occurs at higher  $p\text{CO}_2$  (>560 ppmv) which is in line with previous estimates of  $p\text{CO}_2$  suggesting a late Eocene  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 830 to 980ppmv from boron and alkenone isotopes (Rae et al., 2021). Previous global proxy model comparison suggest a 40% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  across the EOT (Hutchinson et al., 2021), attributed to the lack of dynamic ice sheets and under sensitivity to  $\text{CO}_2$  forcing (Hutchinson et al., 2021). The absolute  $p\text{CO}_2$  levels are uncertain in the past, due to factors such as boron isotope seawater uncertainties; however, the boron isotope is better at assessing relative change (Raitzsch & Hönisch, 2013). The boron and alkenone isotopes are well studied for the EOT and have a high amount of data relative to other  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxies. Current estimates of EOT  $p\text{CO}_2$  changes from alkenone  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and boron isotopes suggest a decrease of 140 to 150 ppmv, or roughly 25% (Rae et al., 2021). The best fits between the proxies and model runs for the change in temperature, both MAT and SST, and across all the models (**Table S1 and Figure 5**) suggest a 19-30% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  for MAT and 21-46% decrease for SST after exclusion of Site 511 BAYSPLINE. The percent decrease is higher than previous  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxy estimates of 16% decrease from boron isotopes (Anagnostou et al., 2016, 2020; Hennehan et al., 2020; Pearson et al., 2009) but similar to the estimated 27% decreases from alkenones (Pagani et al., 2005, 2011). The total amount (200-243 ppmv) from the proxy-model comparison is within plausible range of proxy uncertainties. Given that the prescribed post-EOT level was 560 ppmv for the calculations the total amount may vary;



however, the percent change is more comparable to  $p\text{CO}_2$  records. The  $p\text{CO}_2$  range falls within estimate from  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxies. The discrepancy between the scaling and  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxies could be due to additional forcing from ice-albedo feedbacks associated with the presence of an ice sheet, sea ice (both likely) and/or changes in paleogeography.

#### 4.4. Paleogeography with declining $p\text{CO}_2$

While the proxy evidence for SST change fits the expected  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing across the Antarctic region, paleogeography could additionally affect regional patterns of cooling. To evaluate the effects of ocean gateways we used the three models with large paleogeographic changes (UViC, CESM\_H and FOAM) to derive a temperature anomaly, denoted  $T_{\text{GEOG}}$ . Note, that  $T_{\text{GEOG}}$  is calculate from individual models only, not an ensemble of the three models. For each model (UViC, CESM\_H and FOAM), we combined this anomaly with the temperature anomaly due to  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing (**Figure S11a**) from the whole ensemble, denoted  $T_{\text{CO}_2}$ , and used adjustable scaling factors  $\alpha$  to find a temperature anomaly  $\Delta T$  to best fit to the proxy data:

$$\Delta T = \alpha(T_{\text{CO}_2} + T_{\text{GEOG}}) \quad (2)$$

By scaling  $\alpha$ , we derive a decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  needed to best fit the proxy data (**Figure S11b, c, d, Table S4**). We excluded alkenone data from Site 511 which was anomalously cold as previously noted. The UViC model pre-EOT run has both the Drake Passage and Tasman Gateway closed while in the post-EOT run both the Drake Passage and Tasman Gateway are open. The CESM\_H run model has the Tasman Gateway open post-EOT while the FOAM run models changes the surface area of West Antarctica. With the addition of the UViC model the model ensemble mean  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease needed is 19.9% with an RMSE of 1.66°C. With the inclusion of CESM\_H, the decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  is 30.3% with an RMSE of 1.0°C. With the inclusion of FOAM, the decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  is 23.2% with an RMSE of 0.95°C. The inclusion of the paleogeographic runs increases the  $\Delta\text{RMSE}$  from 0.0 to ~0.7°C, which is not significant. However, there is a clear change in the rescaled experiments in the amount of  $p\text{CO}_2$  needed to drive the transition. The lower  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease, needed for the EOT with these model simulations, is

consistent with the theory that the gateways opening around Antarctica were part of the explanation for the changes in regional SSTs.

#### **4.5. Implications for future work**

##### **4.5.1. Additional southern hemisphere proxy records**

The proxy-model temperature comparison identifies the need for an increase in proxy data spatial coverage for the following reasons: to further constrain the uncertainties on the magnitude of the EOT change, to assess proxy-proxy discrepancies, and to identify how paleogeography drives SST heterogeneity in the Southern Ocean. In the EOT proxy-model comparison we acknowledge a limited number of SST and MAT proxy sites ( $n=6$ ) in the high southern latitudes with most of the records clustered within a few regions. These limited spatial coverage affects the uncertainty and proxy-model comparisons could be more robustly tested with additional sites with proxy reconstructions. On the continent, the availability of additional archives is limited to sites with accessible, outcropping sediments of suitable age, and the modern ice cover is the main impediment. Geological field prospecting for available sediments is the way to see what is possible in terms of adding more MAT estimates and a model-based approach would not be very fruitful to guide land sampling given accessibility limitations, although might help with prioritizing marine margin sites for terrestrial reconstruction. In the open oceans, sediment is in theory deposited everywhere, though water depth and other conditions do limit the availability of SST proxies in some instances (whether through production or preservation). However, there remains great potential to add spatial coverage to proxy SST data and evaluate whether additional proxy records would decrease the proxy-model RMSE. Climate model experiments can help to target marine sampling efforts including guides to the optimal number and locations to drill. Here we demonstrated how an increasing number of sites can reduce the uncertainty of proxy-model SST comparison RMSE, in **Section 4.1**. Beyond the high latitude focus of this study, there is also a dearth of proxy data across the southern hemisphere (especially 20-50°S) and Hutchinson et al., (2021), called for

more southern hemisphere coverage to enable reconstructions and model comparisons of continental climate and changes in ocean temperature and circulation.

#### **4.5.2. Reducing model discrepancy**

While sparse proxy data contrasts with the global coverage of climate modelled data, and visibly limits proxy-model temperature comparisons, the lack of improvement when performing synthetic comparisons using additional grid cells for the model inter-comparison reveals that model-model disagreement is the major limiting factor in proxy-model comparisons. While additional proxy records would increase the density of evidence for past climates, and these additional proxy data may improve the robustness of proxy-proxy comparisons, this is not the main driver of the uncertainty (RMSE) in proxy-model comparisons at present. Our analysis identifies the primary source of uncertainty is within the model ensemble. The priority for future work is to address discrepancies in the temperature estimates among the model ensemble as well as the uncertainty surrounding estimation of the  $p\text{CO}_2$  decrease needed to force the climate transition of the EOT.

### **5 Conclusions**

The synthesis of recent paleoenvironmental proxy evidence from the high southern latitudes and detailed comparison to regional patterns in climate model experiments allows a new perspective on Antarctic-proximal changes across the EOT. We find spatially heterogeneous cooling of 0 to 3°C (SSTs) and 0 to 4°C (MAT) on land. However, no data are available for the late Eocene and early Oligocene from the Bellingshausen and Amundsen Seas, or adjacent landmasses. Climate model experiments with prescribed ice sheets lead to localized cooling exceeding that recorded by Oligocene proxies. Our comparison supports higher  $p\text{CO}_2$  estimates (>800 ppmv) for the late Eocene to match late Eocene temperature proxies. We compared proxy records to model outputs that assessed a decline in  $p\text{CO}_2$ , changes in paleogeography, and the addition of a near or above modern size ice sheet. We use these various model experiments to estimate the decline in  $p\text{CO}_2$  across the transition that provides the best fit to proxy records from the Antarctic across the Eocene-Oligocene Transition. The decline in MAT and SST from

the new proxy compilation was used to scale the multi-model ensemble suggesting a 30 to 33% decrease in  $p\text{CO}_2$  similar to recent  $p\text{CO}_2$  compilations (Rae et al., 2021). This is encouraging as it suggests that the proxy and climate model data on temperature,  $p\text{CO}_2$  and sensitivity may be converging on the magnitude of the  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing of the EOT. However, we caution that inter-model divergence remains the largest source of uncertainty in proxy-model comparisons.

## Acknowledgements

We declare no financial conflicts of interests for any author or their affiliations. This research was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation AGS-1844380 to NJB and OPP-1908548 to SJF. This work resulted from the GeoMeetsClimate MIP internship for EJT at GMU directed by NJB. DKH was supported by ARC grant DE220100279. Thanks to Scott Knapp for technical assistance to EJT with coding. Thanks to the various modeling and proxy groups that had made their data publicly accessible or fulfilled requests for data or metadata.

## Open Research

The proxy compilation is available at Zenodo (Tibbett et al., 2022a). The code notebooks used to perform the analysis and make the figures are available on GitHub (Tibbett et al., 2022d).

## References

- Amoo, M., Salzmann, U., Pound, M. J., Thompson, N., & Bijl, P. K. (2022). Eocene to Oligocene vegetation and climate in the Tasmanian Gateway region were controlled by changes in ocean currents and  $p\text{CO}_2$ . *Climate of the Past*, 18(3), 525–546. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-18-525-2022>
- Anagnostou, E., John, E. H., Babila, T. L., Sexton, P. F., Ridgwell, A., Lunt, D. J., Pearson, P. N., Chalk, T. B., Pancost, R. D., & Foster, G. L. (2020). Proxy evidence for state-dependence of climate sensitivity in the Eocene greenhouse. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 4436. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17887-x>

664 Anagnostou, E., John, E. H., Edgar, K. M., Foster, G. L., Ridgwell, A., Inglis, G. N., Pancost, R. D., Lunt,  
 665 D. J., & Pearson, P. N. (2016). Changing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was the primary driver  
 666 of early Cenozoic climate. *Nature*, 533, 380.

667 Baatsen, M., von der Heydt, A. S., Huber, M., Kliphuis, M. A., Bijl, P. K., Sluijs, A., & Dijkstra, H. A.  
 668 (2020). The middle-to-late Eocene greenhouse climate, modelled using the CESM 1.0.5. *Climate*  
 669 *of the Past Discussions*, 2020, 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-29>

670 Bohaty, S. M., Zachos, J. C., & Delaney, M. L. (2012a). Foraminiferal Mg/Ca evidence for Southern  
 671 Ocean cooling across the Eocene–Oligocene transition. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,  
 672 317–318, 251–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.11.037>

673 Bohaty, S. M., Zachos, J. C., & Delaney, M. L. (2012b). Foraminiferal Mg/Ca evidence for Southern  
 674 Ocean cooling across the Eocene–Oligocene transition. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 317,  
 675 251–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.11.037>

676 Carter, A., Riley, T. R., Hillenbrand, C.-D., & Rittner, M. (2017). Widespread Antarctic glaciation during  
 677 the Late Eocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 458, 49–57.  
 678 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.10.045>

679 Coxall, H. K., Huck, C. E., Huber, M., Lear, C. H., Legarda-Lisarri, A., O'Regan, M., Sliwinska, K. K.,  
 680 van de Flierdt, T., de Boer, A. M., Zachos, J. C., & Backman, J. (2018). Export of nutrient rich  
 681 Northern Component Water preceded early Oligocene Antarctic glaciation. *Nature Geoscience*,  
 682 11(3), 190–196. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0069-9>

683 Coxall, H. K., & Pearson, P. N. (2007). The Eocene–Oligocene transition. *Deep Time Perspectives on*  
 684 *Climate Change: Marrying the Signal From Computer Models and Biological Proxies*, 351–387.

685 Coxall, H. K., Wilson, P. A., Palike, H., Lear, C. H., & Backman, J. (2005). Rapid stepwise onset of  
 686 Antarctic glaciation and deeper calcite compensation in the Pacific Ocean. *Nature*, 433(7021),  
 687 53–57. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03135>

688 Dalziel, I. W. D., Lawver, L. A., Pearce, J. A., Barker, P. F., Hastie, A. R., Barfod, D. N., Schenke, H.-  
689 W., & Davis, M. B. (2013). A potential barrier to deep Antarctic circumpolar flow until the late  
690 Miocene? *Geology*, *41*(9), 947–950. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G34352.1>

691 De Jonge, C., Hopmans, E. C., Zell, C. I., Kim, J.-H., Schouten, S., & Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2014).  
692 Occurrence and abundance of 6-methyl branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers in soils:  
693 Implications for palaeoclimate reconstruction. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *141*, 97–112.  
694 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.06.013>

695 Dearing Crampton-Flood, E., Tierney, J. E., Peterse, F., Kirkels, F. M. S. A., & Sinninghe Damsté, J. S.  
696 (2020). BayMBT: A Bayesian calibration model for branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers  
697 in soils and peats. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *268*, 142–159.  
698 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.09.043>

699 DeConto, R. M., & Pollard, D. (2003). Rapid Cenozoic glaciation of Antarctica induced by declining  
700 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature*, *421*(6920), 245–249. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01290>

701 Deng, L., Jia, G., Jin, C., & Li, S. (2016). Warm season bias of branched GDGT temperature estimates  
702 causes underestimation of altitudinal lapse rate. *Organic Geochemistry*, *96*, 11–17.  
703 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2016.03.004>

704 Douglas, P. M. J., Affek, H. P., Ivany, L. C., Houben, A. J. P., Sijp, W. P., Sluijs, A., Schouten, S., &  
705 Pagani, M. (2014). Pronounced zonal heterogeneity in Eocene southern high-latitude sea surface  
706 temperatures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(18), 6582.  
707 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321441111>

708 Elsworth, G., Galbraith, E., Halverson, G., & Yang, S. (2017). Enhanced weathering and CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown  
709 caused by latest Eocene strengthening of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Nature*  
710 *Geoscience*, *10*(3), 213–216. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2888>

711 Francis, J. E., Marenssi, S., Levy, R., Hambrey, M., Thorn, V. C., Mohr, B., Brinkhuis, H., Warnaar, J.,  
712 Zachos, J., Bohaty, S., & DeConto, R. (2008). Chapter 8 From Greenhouse to Icehouse – The  
713 Eocene/Oligocene in Antarctica. In F. Florindo & M. Siebert (Eds.), *Developments in Earth and*

714 *Environmental Sciences* (Vol. 8, pp. 309–368). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571->  
715 9197(08)00008-6

716 Fyke, J. G., D’Orgeville, M., & Weaver, A. J. (2015). Drake Passage and Central American Seaway  
717 controls on the distribution of the oceanic carbon reservoir. *Global and Planetary Change*, 128,  
718 72–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.02.011>

719 Galeotti, S., DeConto, R., Naish, T., Stocchi, P., Florindo, F., Pagani, M., Barrett, P., Bohaty, S. M.,  
720 Lanci, L., Pollard, D., Sandroni, S., Talarico, F. M., & Zachos, J. C. (2016). Antarctic Ice Sheet  
721 variability across the Eocene-Oligocene boundary climate transition. *Science*, 352(6281), 76.  
722 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab0669>

723 Ghosh, P., Adkins, J., Affek, H., Balta, B., Guo, W., Schauble, E. A., Schrag, D., & Eiler, J. M. (2006).  
724  $^{13}\text{C}$ – $^{18}\text{O}$  bonds in carbonate minerals: A new kind of paleothermometer. *Geochimica et*  
725 *Cosmochimica Acta*, 70(6), 1439–1456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.11.014>

726 Goldner, A., Herold, N., & Huber, M. (2014). Antarctic glaciation caused ocean circulation changes at the  
727 Eocene–Oligocene transition. *Nature*, 511(7511), 574–577. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13597>

728 Gradstein, F. M., Ogg, J. G., Schmitz, M. B., & Ogg, G. M. (2012). *The geologic time scale 2012*.  
729 elsevier.

730 Harbert, R. S., & Nixon, K. C. (2015). Climate reconstruction analysis using coexistence likelihood  
731 estimation (CRACLE): A method for the estimation of climate using vegetation. *American*  
732 *Journal of Botany*, 102(8), 1277–1289. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1400500>

733 Hartman, J. D., Sangiorgi, F., Salabarnada, A., Peterse, F., Houben, A. J. P., Schouten, S., Brinkhuis, H.,  
734 Escutia, C., & Bijl, P. K. (2018). Paleoceanography and ice sheet variability offshore Wilkes  
735 Land, Antarctica – Part 3: Insights from Oligocene–Miocene  $\text{TEX}_{86}$ -based sea surface  
736 temperature reconstructions. *Climate of the Past*, 14(9), 1275–1297. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp->  
737 14-1275-2018

738 Henderiks, J., & Pagani, M. (2008). Coccolithophore cell size and the Paleogene decline in atmospheric  
 739 CO<sub>2</sub>. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 269(3), 576–584.  
 740 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.016>  
 741 Henehan, M. J., Edgar, K. M., Foster, G. L., Penman, D. E., Hull, P. M., Greenop, R., Anagnostou, E., &  
 742 Pearson, P. N. (2020). Revisiting the Middle Eocene Climatic Optimum “Carbon Cycle  
 743 Conundrum” with new estimates of atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> from boron isotopes. *Paleoceanography*  
 744 *and Paleoclimatology*, 35(6), e2019PA003713.  
 745 Hollis, C. J., Dunkley Jones, T., Anagnostou, E., Bijl, P. K., Cramwinckel, M. J., Cui, Y., Dickens, G. R.,  
 746 Edgar, K. M., Eley, Y., Evans, D., Foster, G. L., Frieling, J., Inglis, G. N., Kennedy, E. M.,  
 747 Kozdon, R., Lauretano, V., Lear, C. H., Littler, K., Lourens, L., ... Lunt, D. J. (2019). The  
 748 DeepMIP contribution to PMIP4: Methodologies for selection, compilation and analysis of latest  
 749 Paleocene and early Eocene climate proxy data, incorporating version 0.1 of the DeepMIP  
 750 database. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(7), 3149–3206. [https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3149-2019)  
 751 [3149-2019](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3149-2019)  
 752 Hopmans, E. C., Schouten, S., & Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2016). The effect of improved chromatography  
 753 on GDGT-based palaeoproxies. *Organic Geochemistry*, 93, 1–6.  
 754 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.12.006>  
 755 Houben, A. J. P., Bijl, P. K., Sluijs, A., Schouten, S., & Brinkhuis, H. (2019). Late Eocene Southern  
 756 Ocean Cooling and Invigoration of Circulation Preconditioned Antarctica for Full-Scale  
 757 Glaciation. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 20(5), 2214–2234.  
 758 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008182>  
 759 Houben, A. J. P., van Mourik, C. A., Montanari, A., Coccioni, R., & Brinkhuis, H. (2012). The Eocene–  
 760 Oligocene transition: Changes in sea level, temperature or both? *Cenozoic Evolution of Antarctic*  
 761 *Climates, Oceans and Ice Sheets*, 335–336, 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.04.008>  
 762 Hunt, R. J., & Poole, I. (2003). *Paleogene West Antarctic climate and vegetation history in light of new*  
 763 *data from King George Island*.



Hutchinson, D. K., Coxall, H. K., Lunt, D. J., Steinthorsdottir, M., de Boer, A. M., Baatsen, M., von der Heydt, A., Huber, M., Kennedy-Asser, A. T., Kunzmann, L., Ladant, J.-B., Lear, C. H., Moraweck, K., Pearson, P. N., Piga, E., Pound, M. J., Salzmann, U., Scher, H. D., Sijp, W. P., ... Zhang, Z. (2021). The Eocene–Oligocene transition: A review of marine and terrestrial proxy data, models and model–data comparisons. *Climate of the Past*, 17(1), 269–315. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-269-2021>

Hutchinson, D. K., Coxall, H. K., O'Regan, M., Nilsson, J., Caballero, R., & de Boer, A. M. (2019). Arctic closure as a trigger for Atlantic overturning at the Eocene-Oligocene Transition. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 3797. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11828-z>

Hutchinson, D. K., de Boer, A. M., Coxall, H. K., Caballero, R., Nilsson, J., & Baatsen, M. (2018). Climate sensitivity and meridional overturning circulation in the late Eocene using GFDL CM2.1. *Clim. Past*, 14(6), 789–810. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-789-2018>

Kalanetra, K. M., Bano, N., & Hollibaugh, J. T. (2009). Ammonia-oxidizing Archaea in the Arctic Ocean and Antarctic coastal waters. *Environmental Microbiology*, 11(9), 2434–2445.

Katz, M. E., Miller, K. G., Wright, J. D., Wade, B. S., Browning, J. V., Cramer, B. S., & Rosenthal, Y. (2008). Stepwise transition from the Eocene greenhouse to the Oligocene icehouse. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(5), 329–334. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo179>

Kennedy, A. T., Farnsworth, A., Lunt, D. J., Lear, C. H., & Markwick, P. J. (2015). Atmospheric and oceanic impacts of Antarctic glaciation across the Eocene–Oligocene transition. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 373(2054), 20140419. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0419>

Kennedy-Asser, A. T., Lunt, D. J., Valdes, P. J., Ladant, J.-B., Frieling, J., & Lauretano, V. (2020). Changes in the high-latitude Southern Hemisphere through the Eocene–Oligocene transition: A model–data comparison. *Climate of the Past*, 16(2), 555–573. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-555-2020>

789 Kennett, J. P. (1977). Cenozoic evolution of Antarctic glaciation, the circum-Antarctic Ocean, and their  
790 impact on global paleoceanography. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 82(27),  
791 3843–3860. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JC082i027p03843>

792 Ladant, J. B., Donnadieu, Y., & Dumas, C. (2014). Links between CO<sub>2</sub>, glaciation and water flow:  
793 Reconciling the Cenozoic history of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Clim. Past*, 10(6), 1957–  
794 1966. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-1957-2014>

795 Ladant, J.-B., Donnadieu, Y., Lefebvre, V., & Dumas, C. (2014). The respective role of atmospheric  
796 carbon dioxide and orbital parameters on ice sheet evolution at the Eocene-Oligocene transition.  
797 *Paleoceanography*, 29(8), 810–823. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013PA002593>

798 Lauretano, V., Kennedy-Asser, A. T., Korasidis, V. A., Wallace, M. W., Valdes, P. J., Lunt, D. J.,  
799 Pancost, R. D., & Naafs, B. D. A. (2021). Eocene to Oligocene terrestrial Southern Hemisphere  
800 cooling caused by declining pCO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature Geoscience*, 14(9), 659–664.  
801 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00788-z>

802 Lear, C. H., Bailey, T. R., Pearson, P. N., Coxall, H. K., & Rosenthal, Y. (2008). Cooling and ice growth  
803 across the Eocene-Oligocene transition. *Geology*, 36(3), 251–254.  
804 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G24584A.1>

805 Liu, Z., Pagani, M., Zinniker, D., DeConto, R., Huber, M., Brinkhuis, H., Shah, S. R., Leckie, R. M., &  
806 Pearson, A. (2009). Global Cooling During the Eocene-Oligocene Climate Transition. *Science*,  
807 323(5918), 1187. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1166368>

808 M. McKay, R., Escutia, C., De Santis, L., Donda, F., Duncan, B., Gohl, K., Gulick, S., Hernández-  
809 Molina, J., Hillenbrand, C.-D., Hochmuth, K., Kim, S., Kuhn, G., Larter, R., Leitchenkov, G., H.  
810 Levy, R., R. Naish, T., O'Brien, P., F. Pérez, L., E. Shevenell, A., & Williams, T. (2022). Chapter  
811 3—Cenozoic history of Antarctic glaciation and climate from onshore and offshore studies. In F.  
812 Florindo, M. Siegert, L. D. Santis, & T. Naish (Eds.), *Antarctic Climate Evolution (Second*  
813 *Edition)* (pp. 41–164). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819109-5.00008-6>

814 Macphail, M. K., & Truswell, E. M. (2004). Palynology of Neogene slope and rise deposits from ODP  
815 Sites 1165 and 1167, East Antarctica. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Scientific*  
816 *Results*, 188, 1–20.

817 Miller, K. G., Browning, J. V., Schmelz, W. J., Kopp, R. E., Mountain, G. S., & Wright, J. D. (2020).  
818 Cenozoic sea-level and cryospheric evolution from deep-sea geochemical and continental margin  
819 records. *Science Advances*, 6(20), eaaz1346. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz1346>

820 Müller, R. D., Cannon, J., Qin, X., Watson, R. J., Gurnis, M., Williams, S., Pfaffelmoser, T., Seton, M.,  
821 Russell, S. H. J., & Zahirovic, S. (2018). GPlates: Building a Virtual Earth Through Deep Time.  
822 *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 19(7), 2243–2261.  
823 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007584>

824 Naafs, B. D. A., Inglis, G. N., Zheng, Y., Amesbury, M. J., Biester, H., Bindler, R., Blewett, J., Burrows,  
825 M. A., del Castillo Torres, D., Chambers, F. M., Cohen, A. D., Evershed, R. P., Feakins, S. J.,  
826 Gałka, M., Gallego-Sala, A., Gandois, L., Gray, D. M., Hatcher, P. G., Honorio Coronado, E. N.,  
827 ... Pancost, R. D. (2017). Introducing global peat-specific temperature and pH calibrations based  
828 on brGDGT bacterial lipids. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 208, 285–301.  
829 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.01.038>

830 Pagani, M., Huber, M., Liu, Z., Bohaty, S. M., Henderiks, J., Sijp, W., Krishnan, S., & DeConto, R. M.  
831 (2011). The Role of Carbon Dioxide During the Onset of Antarctic Glaciation. *Science*,  
832 334(6060), 1261. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1203909>

833 Pagani, M., Zachos, J. C., Freeman, K. H., Tipple, B., & Bohaty, S. (2005). Marked Decline in  
834 Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Concentrations During the Paleogene. *Science*, 309(5734), 600.  
835 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110063>

836 Passchier, S., Bohaty, S. M., Jimenez-Espejo, F. J., Pross, J., Roehl, U., van de Flierdt, T., Escutia, C., &  
837 Brinkhuis, H. (2013). Early Eocene to middle Miocene cooling and aridification of East  
838 Antarctica. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 14(5), 1399–1410.  
839 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20106>

840 Passchier, S., Ciarletta, D. J., Miriagos, T. E., Bijl, P. K., & Bohaty, S. M. (2017). An Antarctic  
841 stratigraphic record of stepwise ice growth through the Eocene-Oligocene transition. *Geological*  
842 *Society of America Bulletin*, 129(3–4), 318–330. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B31482.1>

843 Paxman, G. J. G., Jamieson, S. S. R., Ferraccioli, F., Bentley, M. J., Ross, N., Armadillo, E., Gasson, E.  
844 G. W., Leitchenkov, G., & DeConto, R. M. (2018). Bedrock Erosion Surfaces Record Former  
845 East Antarctic Ice Sheet Extent. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(9), 4114–4123.  
846 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077268>

847 Paxman, G. J. G., Jamieson, S. S. R., Hochmuth, K., Gohl, K., Bentley, M. J., Leitchenkov, G., &  
848 Ferraccioli, F. (2019). Reconstructions of Antarctic topography since the Eocene–Oligocene  
849 boundary. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 535, 109346.  
850 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.109346>

851 Pearson, P. N., Foster, G. L., & Wade, B. S. (2009). Atmospheric carbon dioxide through the Eocene–  
852 Oligocene climate transition. *Nature*, 461(7267), 1110–1113. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08447>

853 Petersen, S. V., & Schrag, D. P. (2015). Antarctic ice growth before and after the Eocene-Oligocene  
854 transition: New estimates from clumped isotope paleothermometry: Antarctic ice growth at the  
855 E/O transition. *Paleoceanography*, 30(10), 1305–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002769>

856 Plancq, J., Mattioli, E., Pittet, B., Simon, L., & Grossi, V. (2014). Productivity and sea-surface  
857 temperature changes recorded during the late Eocene–early Oligocene at DSDP Site 511 (South  
858 Atlantic). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 407, 34–44.  
859 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.04.016>

860 Poole, I., Cantrill, D., & Utescher, T. (2005). A multi-proxy approach to determine Antarctic terrestrial  
861 palaeoclimate during the Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary. *Palaeogeography,*  
862 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 222(1), 95–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.03.011>

863 Popp, B. N., Prahl, F. G., Wallsgrrove, R. J., & Tanimoto, J. (2006). Seasonal patterns of alkenone  
864 production in the subtropical oligotrophic North Pacific. *Paleoceanography*, 21(1). Scopus.  
865 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005PA001165>

866 Pound, M. J., & Salzmann, U. (2017). Heterogeneity in global vegetation and terrestrial climate change  
867 during the late Eocene to early Oligocene transition. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 43386.  
868 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43386>

869 Prahl, F. G., & Wakeham, S. G. (1987). Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain ketone  
870 compositions for palaeotemperature assessment. *Nature*, 330(6146), 367–369.  
871 <https://doi.org/10.1038/330367a0>

872 Rae, J. W. B., Zhang, Y. G., Liu, X., Foster, G. L., Stoll, H. M., & Whiteford, R. D. M. (2021).  
873 Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the Past 66 Million Years from Marine Archives. *Annual Review of Earth  
874 and Planetary Sciences*, 49(1), 609–641. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-082420-063026>

875 Raitzsch, M., & Hönisch, B. (2013). Cenozoic boron isotope variations in benthic foraminifers. *Geology*,  
876 41(5), 591–594. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G34031.1>

877 Rose, K. C., Ferraccioli, F., Jamieson, S. S. R., Bell, R. E., Corr, H., Creyts, T. T., Braaten, D., Jordan, T.  
878 A., Fretwell, P. T., & Damaske, D. (2013). Early East Antarctic Ice Sheet growth recorded in the  
879 landscape of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 375, 1–  
880 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.053>

881 Sauermilch, I., Whittaker, J. M., Klocker, A., Munday, D. R., Hochmuth, K., Bijl, P. K., & LaCasce, J. H.  
882 (2021). Gateway-driven weakening of ocean gyres leads to Southern Ocean cooling. *Nature  
883 Communications*, 12(1), 6465. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26658-1>

884 Scher, H. D., Bohaty, S. M., Smith, B. W., & Munn, G. H. (2014). Isotopic interrogation of a suspected  
885 late Eocene glaciation. *Paleoceanography*, 29(6), 628–644.  
886 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002648>

887 Scher, H. D., Bohaty, S. M., Zachos, J. C., & Delaney, M. L. (2011). Two-stepping into the icehouse:  
888 East Antarctic weathering during progressive ice-sheet expansion at the Eocene–Oligocene  
889 transition. *Geology*, 39(4), 383–386. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G31726.1>

890 Scher, H. D., Whittaker, J. M., Williams, S. E., Latimer, J. C., Kordesch, W. E. C., & Delaney, M. L.  
891 (2015). Onset of Antarctic Circumpolar Current 30 million years ago as Tasmanian Gateway  
892 aligned with westerlies. *Nature*, 523(7562), 580–583. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14598>

893 Schouten, S., Hopmans, E. C., Schefuß, E., & Damste, J. S. S. (2002). Distributional variations in marine  
894 crenarchaeotal membrane lipids: A new tool for reconstructing ancient sea water temperatures?  
895 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 204(1–2), 265–274.

896 Sheldon, N. D., Retallack, G. J., & Tanaka, S. (2002). Geochemical Climofunctions from North American  
897 Soils and Application to Paleosols across the Eocene-Oligocene Boundary in Oregon. *The*  
898 *Journal of Geology*, 110(6), 687–696. <https://doi.org/10.1086/342865>

899 Sheldon, N. D., & Tabor, N. J. (2009). Quantitative paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic reconstruction  
900 using paleosols. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 95(1), 1–52.  
901 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2009.03.004>

902 Sijp, W. P., England, M. H., & Toggweiler, J. R. (2009). Effect of Ocean Gateway Changes under  
903 Greenhouse Warmth. *Journal of Climate*, 22(24), 6639–6652.  
904 <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3003.1>

905 Sijp, W. P., von der Heydt, A. S., & Bijl, P. K. (2016). Model simulations of early westward flow across  
906 the Tasman Gateway during the early Eocene. *Clim. Past*, 12(4), 807–817.  
907 <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-807-2016>

908 Sikes, E. L., & Volkman, J. K. (1993). Calibration of alkenone unsaturation ratios (Uk'37) for  
909 paleotemperature estimation in cold polar waters. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 57(8),  
910 1883–1889. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(93\)90120-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(93)90120-L)

911 Sikes, E. L., Volkman, J. K., Robertson, L. G., & Pichon, J. J. (1997). Alkenones and alkenes in surface  
912 waters and sediments of the Southern Ocean: Implications for paleotemperature estimation in  
913 polar regions. *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta*, 61(7), 1495–1505.  
914 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(97\)00017-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00017-3)

915 Speelman, E. N., van Kempen, M. M., Barke, J., Brinkhuis, H., Reichart, G.-J., Smolders, A. J., Roelofs,  
 916 J. G., Sangiorgi, F., de Leeuw, J. W., & Lotter, A. F. (2009). The Eocene Arctic Azolla bloom:  
 917 Environmental conditions, productivity and carbon drawdown. *Geobiology*, 7(2), 155–170.  
 918 Thompson, N., Salzmann, U., López-Quirós, A., Bijl, P. K., Hoem, F. S., Etourneau, J., Sicre, M.-A.,  
 919 Roignant, S., Hocking, E., Amoo, M., & Escutia, C. (2022). Vegetation change across the Drake  
 920 Passage region linked to late Eocene cooling and glacial disturbance after the Eocene–Oligocene  
 921 transition. *Climate of the Past*, 18(2), 209–232. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-18-209-2022>  
 922 Tibbett, E. J., Burls, N. J., Hutchinson, D. K., & Feakins, S. J. (2022d). Ejtibbett/EOTproxymodel: Proxy  
 923 Model Comparison for the Eocene-Oligocene Transition [ComputationalNotebook]. *Zenodo*.  
 924 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7254786>  
 925 Tibbett, E. J., Burls, N. J., Hutchinson, D. K., & Feakins, S. J. (2022a). Southern High Latitude  
 926 Temperature Proxies from the Late Eocene and Early Oligocene [Dataset]. *Zenodo*.  
 927 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7254536>  
 928 Tibbett, E. J., Scher, H. D., Warny, S., Tierney, J. E., & Feakins, S. J. (2021b). *Prydz Bay East*  
 929 *Antarctica, biomarker and pollen, 36-33 million years. NOAA Paleoclimate Database*.  
 930 <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/32052>  
 931 Tibbett, E. J., Scher, H. D., Warny, S., Tierney, J. E., Passchier, S., & Feakins, S. J. (2021a). Late Eocene  
 932 Record of Hydrology and Temperature From Prydz Bay, East Antarctica. *Paleoceanography and*  
 933 *Paleoclimatology*, 36(4), e2020PA004204. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020PA004204>  
 934 Tibbett, E. J., Warny, S., Tierney, J. E., Wellner, J. S., & Feakins, S. J. (2022b). Cenozoic Antarctic  
 935 Peninsula Temperatures and Glacial Erosion Signals From a Multi-Proxy Biomarker Study.  
 936 *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 37(9), e2022PA004430.  
 937 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022PA004430>  
 938 Tibbett, E. J., Warny, S., Tierney, J. E., Wellner, J. S., & Feakins, S. J. (2022c). SHALDRIL Antarctic  
 939 Peninsula, biomarkers, 37-3 million years [Dataset]. *NOAA Paleoclimate Database*.  
 940 <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/35613>

- Tierney, J. E., & Tingley, M. P. (2014). A Bayesian, spatially-varying calibration model for the TEX86 proxy. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 127, 83–106.
- Tierney, J. E., & Tingley, M. P. (2018). BAYSPLINE: A New Calibration for the Alkenone Paleothermometer. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 33(3), 281–301.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/2017PA003201>
- Toumoulin, A., Donnadieu, Y., Ladant, J.-B., Batenburg, S. J., Poblete, F., & Dupont-Nivet, G. (2020). Quantifying the Effect of the Drake Passage Opening on the Eocene Ocean. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 35(8), e2020PA003889. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020PA003889>
- Truswell, E. M., & Macphail, M. K. (2009). Polar forests on the edge of extinction: What does the fossil spore and pollen evidence from East Antarctica say? *Australian Systematic Botany*, 22(2), 57–106. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SB08046>
- Utescher, T., Bruch, A. A., Erdei, B., François, L., Ivanov, D., Jacques, F. M. B., Kern, A. K., Liu, Y.-S. (C. ), Mosbrugger, V., & Spicer, R. A. (2014). The Coexistence Approach—Theoretical background and practical considerations of using plant fossils for climate quantification. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 410, 58–73.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.05.031>
- Vahlenkamp, M., Niezgodzki, I., De Vleeschouwer, D., Lohmann, G., Bickert, T., & Pälike, H. (2018). Ocean and climate response to North Atlantic seaway changes at the onset of long-term Eocene cooling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 498, 185–195.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.06.031>
- Van Breedam, J., Huybrechts, P., & Crucifix, M. (2022). Modelling evidence for late Eocene Antarctic glaciations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 586, 117532.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117532>
- Volkman, J. K., Eglinton, G., Corner, E. D. S., & Forsberg, T. E. V. (1980). Long-chain alkenes and alkenones in the marine coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Phytochemistry*, 19(12), 2619–2622.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(00\)83930-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)83930-8)



- Weijers, J. W. H., Bernhardt, B., Peterse, F., Werne, J. P., Dungait, J. A. J., Schouten, S., & Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2011). Absence of seasonal patterns in MBT–CBT indices in mid-latitude soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(11), 3179–3190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.03.015>
- Weijers, J. W. H., Schouten, S., van den Donker, J. C., Hopmans, E. C., & Sinninghe Damsté, J. S. (2007). Environmental controls on bacterial tetraether membrane lipid distribution in soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71(3), 703–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.10.003>
- Westerhold, T., Marwan, N., Drury, A. J., Liebrand, D., Agnini, C., Anagnostou, E., Barnett, J. S. K., Bohaty, S. M., Vleeschouwer, D. D., Florindo, F., Frederichs, T., Hodell, D. A., Holbourn, A. E., Kroon, D., Lauretano, V., Littler, K., Lourens, L. J., Lyle, M., Pälike, H., ... Zachos, J. C. (2020). An astronomically dated record of Earth's climate and its predictability over the last 66 million years. *Science*, 369(6509), 1383–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba6853>
- Willard, D. A., Donders, T. H., Reichgelt, T., Greenwood, D. R., Sangiorgi, F., Peterse, F., Nierop, K. G. J., Frieling, J., Schouten, S., & Sluijs, A. (2019). Arctic vegetation, temperature, and hydrology during Early Eocene transient global warming events. *Global and Planetary Change*, 178, 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.04.012>
- Wilson, D. S., Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M., Jamieson, S. S. R., & Luyendyk, B. P. (2013). Initiation of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and estimates of total Antarctic ice volume in the earliest Oligocene. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(16), 4305–4309. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50797>
- Zhang, Z., Nisancioglu, K., Bentsen, M., Tjiputra, J., Bethke, I., Yan, Q., Risebrobakken, B., Andersson, C., & Jansen, E. (2012). Pre-industrial and mid-Pliocene simulations with NorESM-L. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 5(2), 523–533. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-523-2012>
- Zhang, Z., Ramstein, G., Schuster, M., Li, C., Contoux, C., & Yan, Q. (2014). Aridification of the Sahara desert caused by Tethys Sea shrinkage during the Late Miocene. *Nature*, 513(7518), 401–404. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13705>

## References from the Supporting Information

- Baatsen, M., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., von der Heydt, A. S., Dijkstra, H. A., Sluijs, A., Abels, H. A., & Bijl, P. K. (2016). Reconstructing geographical boundary conditions for palaeoclimate modelling during the Cenozoic. *Climate of the Past*, 12(8), 1635–1644. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1635-2016>
- Scotese, C. (2001). *Digital paleogeographic map archive on CD-ROM. PALEOMAP Project. University of Texas (Arlington).*
- Sewall, J. O., Sloan, L. C., Huber, M., & Wing, S. (2000). Climate sensitivity to changes in land surface characteristics. *Global and Planetary Change*, 26(4), 445–465. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(00\)00056-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00056-4)
- Wilson, D. S., Jamieson, S. S. R., Barrett, P. J., Leitchkov, G., Gohl, K., & Larter, R. D. (2012). Antarctic topography at the Eocene–Oligocene boundary. *Cenozoic Evolution of Antarctic Climates, Oceans and Ice Sheets*, 335–336, 24–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.05.028>

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Proxy data compiled for Antarctica, the Southern Ocean and the southern high latitudes spanning the Eocene and Oligocene. a) Map depicting location of records used in this study. b) Land surface mean air temperature (MAT) data including BayMBT (Tibbett et al., 2021a, 2022b), pollen climate reconstructions including NLR (Amoo et al., 2022; Francis et al., 2008; Macphail & Truswell, 2004; Passchier et al., 2013; Poole et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2022; Truswell & Macphail, 2009), S-index (Passchier et al., 2013, 2017), and MBT/CBT (Douglas et al., 2014). c) Sea surface temperature (SST) data from BAYSPAR calibrated TEX<sub>86</sub> data (Douglas et al., 2014; Hartman et al., 2018; Houben et al., 2019; Lauretano et al., 2021; Pagani et al., 2011), SSTs from BAYSPLINE calibrated U<sup>k</sup><sub>37</sub> (Houben et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2009; Pagani et al., 2011; Plancq et al., 2014), and  $\Delta_{47}$  from bivalves (Douglas et al., 2014; Petersen & Schrag, 2015). d) Air Temperature anomaly and e) sea surface temperature anomaly for each Southern Ocean sector with data normalized by the respective datasets Eocene mean in standard deviation units (Z scores), combined for each Southern Ocean sector, and then interpolated to a common interval with a spline fit to the data. Only datasets covering the late Eocene and early Oligocene were used for the temperature anomaly plot. f)  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{benthic}}$  spline (Westerhold et al., 2020) and  $p\text{CO}_2$  compiled from  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  (blue) and alkenone (red) proxies (Rae et al., 2021).

**Figure 2.** Southern hemisphere model MAT for the a) Eocene (4x  $p\text{CO}_2$ ), b) Oligocene (2x  $p\text{CO}_2$  model runs), and c) the difference across the transition (2x-4x) showing results for the unscaled multi model ensemble mean. The circles correspond to proxy mean annual air temperature records while the dotted

areas show the source area used to compare the model temperature to the proxy record. d) The RMSE for  $p\text{CO}_2$  model runs for MAT, for individual model mapped output see **Figure S1**. Red lines are the RMSE for each model after the  $p\text{CO}_2$  scaling for RMSE values see **Table S1**.

**Figure 3.** Southern Ocean sea surface temperatures (SST) for the a) Eocene ( $4\times p\text{CO}_2$ ), b) Oligocene ( $2\times p\text{CO}_2$  model runs), and c) the difference across the transition ( $2\times$ - $4\times$ ) showing results for the unscaled multi model ensemble mean. The circles correspond to proxy mean annual air temperature records while the dotted areas show the source area used to compare the model temperature to the proxy record. d) Summarizing the RMSE for  $p\text{CO}_2$  model runs for SST, for individual model mapped output see **Figure S3**. Red lines are the RMSE for each model after the  $p\text{CO}_2$  scaling for RMSE values see **Table S1**.

**Figure 4.** Summary of average RMSE across the model experiments a) Eocene runs for  $\text{CO}_2$  using  $4\times p\text{CO}_2$  (Table 3), ice runs contain no ice with  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 560 ppmv, Eocene paleogeography runs (Table 3) b) Oligocene runs for  $p\text{CO}_2$  using  $2\times p\text{CO}_2$ , ice runs containing the model prescribed ice sheet with  $p\text{CO}_2$  of 560 ppmv, and Oligocene paleogeography runs c) is the difference model outputs between the Oligocene and Eocene runs. (Table 3). The x axis headers correspond as follows:  $\text{CO}_2$  distinguishes the proxy-model comparison based on  $p\text{CO}_2$  changes, ice for the with and without an ice sheet, and geo for the model runs with paleogeographic changes. MAT and SST correspond to either air or sea surface temperature comparison Mean is the orange line with outliers as dots. Dots are outliers with both from the GFDL CM2.1 model. Blue line is the ensemble mean from the  $p\text{CO}_2$  scaling.

**Figure 5.** a) Proxy-model  $\Delta\text{MAT}$  comparison after scaling the  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing to achieve best fit to the magnitude of cooling in the proxy MATs, b) Proxy-model  $\Delta\text{SST}$  comparison after scaling the  $p\text{CO}_2$  forcing to achieve best fit to the magnitude of cooling in the proxy SSTs. In the Oligocene run the catchment is restricted for Prydz Bay and the Ross Sea on the basis of ice expansion reducing the effective catchment area. The grey area in the Eocene timeslice identifies the separate source regions used in Prydz Bay based on the proxy type. In the Oligocene run the catchment is restricted on the basis of ice expansion reducing the effective catchment area. In the Oligocene-Eocene panel the grey panel represents the Eocene area used for Prydz Bay while the line for source region corresponds to proxy sourcing areas for the Oligocene.